IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 24711 of 2002

Between:

V.Srinivas Rao, S/o.Govinda, General Secretary, A.P.T.C.,

6-100/1, Nehru Nagar, Gajularamavaram Road,

Jeedimetla, Hyderabad – 500 085.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. Govt. of A.P., rep. by its Commissioner,

Rural Development, Tilak Road, Abids, Hyderabad.

2. The Project Director, D.P.A.P.,

Drought Prone Area Programme, Khammam, Khammam Dist.

3. Govt. of India, Ministry of Rural Development,

Dept. of Land Resources, G – Wing, N.E.O. Building,

Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi – 110 0011.

4. Srinivasa Reddy, Contractor, Through Project Director,

D.P.A.P., Drought Prone Area Programme, Khammam.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue Writ or orders or directions particularly in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, call for the records from the 2nd respondent and declare the action of the 2nd respondent who colluded with 4th respondent and misusing the funds with forged and fabricated documents by exploiting the Tribal in the Tribal area and protect the interest of the Tribals by declaring the action of the 2nd and 4th respondents as illegal, arbitrary,

contrary to law, much less violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently justice may be done to the Tribal who are suffering for food and starvation in the interest of justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR. M.V.RAJARAM

Counsel for Respondents 1 and 2: GOVERNMENT PLEADER FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT

Counsel for Respondent No.3: STANDING COUNSEL FOR CENTRAL GOVERNMENT

Counsel for Respondent No.4: None

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

Sri M.V.Rajaram, learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the writ petition has become infructuous.

Therefore, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____

V.V.S. RAO, J

November 16, 2004.

Ak

To

1. The Commissioner, Rural Development, Government of Andhra

Pradesh, Tilak Road, Abids, Hyderabad.

2. The Project Director, D.P.A.P. (Drought Prone Area Programme),

Khammam, Khammam District.

3. Ministry of Rural Development, Department of Land Resources,

G-Wing, N.E.O. Building, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi – 110 0011.

4. Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj and Rural Development, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (O.U.T).

5. Two CD copies.